Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,646	BIEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Hien Tran	1764
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Hien_Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Carl S. Clark.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 February 2005	Time: <u>10:30-11:30AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 30, 46, 50		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims and drawings as such forth in details in an attached examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	·	
then Tran		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)